

Wilfried Schmitz

Rechtsanwalt

RA Wilfried Schmitz, Mitglied der RA-Kammer Köln

An das

Bundesverwaltungsgericht
Geschäftsstelle 1. Wehrdienstsenat

schmitz.de
04107 Leipzig

Zustellung über das beA

Büro in 52538 Selfkant:

De-Plevitz-Str. 2
Telefon: 02456-5085590
Telefax: 02456-5085591
Mobil: 01578-7035614
**Mobile Festnetz-Nr.:
02456-9539054**
Email:
info@rechtsanwalt-wilfried-

Homepage abrufbar unter:
Rechtsanwalt-Wilfried-Schmitz.de
beA:
Schmitz, Wilfried (52538 Selfkant)

Steuernummer: 210/5145/1944
USt.-IdNr.: DE268254583

Bei Zahlungen bitte stets angeben:
Rechn.-Nr.:

Bei Antworten bitte stets angeben:
Aktenzeichen: .../ 2022

Selfkant, den 9.3.2022

In the military appeal proceedings

of Mr. ...

AZ. ...

the BMVg can, by the way, assume that we would be able to make further very extensive submissions on all topics that are even potentially relevant to these proceedings.

But for the purposes of these proceedings, it would be neither necessary nor helpful to confront the recognizing Senate with a flood of sources that it will foreseeably not be able to process by the deadline.

For example, claims made in the Bundestag in favor of compulsory vaccination are all demonstrably false, and several authors have already elaborated on this, including in an article on the SciFi portal dated March 4, 2022, entitled "Compulsory Vaccination Fanatics and a Bill Full of False Claims and Full of FORCE - A De(con)struction," available at:

<https://sciencefiles.org/2022/03/04/impfpflicht-fanatiker-und-ein-gesetzentwurf-voller-falscher-behauptungen-und-voller-zwang-eine-dekonstruktion/>

This portal "SciFi" was not known to me until 3/8/2022, so I cannot vouch for the content and quality of its other publications.

But the aforementioned contribution is really worth reading, so that I considered to pass it here in copy.

For there, on the basis of numerous relevant studies in each case, it is conclusively demonstrated that the aforementioned draft law is based on false assertions. In summary, it says there (quote):

"What is one to say about a bill based on such false assertions? To summarize:

The proposers want to protect against what may be a threat from an unknown variant of SARS-CoV-2 that may manage to do in the fall of 2022 what no other variant of SARS-CoV-2 has managed to do yet, overburden the health care system, by forcing citizens to be vaccinated.

The compulsion is intended to help worship a vaccination fetish that consists of a vaccination rate that no one is able to name.

The justification for compulsory vaccination is that vaccinated people are less likely to become seriously ill and die. This is debatable.

Compulsory vaccination of young people who have little to no serious illness is justified on the basis that vaccinated people are less likely to transmit SARS-CoV-2 than unvaccinated people. This is demonstrably false.

Vaccination is touted as the best way to build up immune protection against SARS-CoV-2. This is demonstrably false. The best protection is a natural immune response.

Booster vaccination is being touted as a means of "high protection." This is also demonstrably false. The protection provided by booster vaccination, if any, is gone even faster than that supposedly achieved by double vaccination.

In the further course it is tried to discredit medicines against COVID-19 about the fact that they would lead to side effects, which is not the case with vaccines. This, too, is demonstrably false. The extent of the vaccine damage, which one must assume is being wantonly accepted by people like those who initiated this bill, is slowly coming to light. Those who want to get an idea can do so here. The text is a collection of case studies of severe vaccine damage scientifically proven to result from COVID-19 vaccination/gene therapy."(end quote)

Incidentally, for clarity and to avoid repetition, full reference is made to the content of this post on the SciFi portal dated 3/4/2022 entitled "Mandatory Vaccination Fanatics and a Draft Bill Full of False Claims and Full of FORCES - A De(con)struction".

On the subject of "underreporting" of vaccination complications, reference could be made to a recent study from Israel, which was discussed on 3/4/2022 on the portal "Uncut News". Among other things, it states there:

"According to a survey conducted by the Israeli Ministry of Health, more Israelis suffer vaccine injuries and reactions after COVID-19 booster vaccinations than the country's passive reporting system indicates. What do the data tell us about safety signals and underreporting?...

According to the analyses, overall mortality in Germany increased by 26%, which cannot be explained by COVID deaths alone (maximum 8%).

The underreporting factors are 13.86-fold too low, which means that since the introduction of the COVID vaccination campaign in Germany, more than 400,000 severe vaccine injuries and more than 31,000 deaths have occurred. The vaccination campaign is the only variable that can account for this unnatural increase."

Source:

Wilfried Schmitz

Rechtsanwalt

<https://uncutnews.ch/israelische-studie-zeigt-dass-booster-shots-mehr-impfschaeden-verursachen-als-bisher-vermutet/>

So, foreseeably, many more soldiers will die and be seriously harmed than the two Bundeswehr soldiers who are said to have died so far "from" (?) the coronavirus, which admittedly remains disputed with ignorance.

The recognizing senate should also know that (almost) everywhere else in the world the so-called anti-corona measures have been reduced or even completely abolished, for example in Hungary, England, Denmark and Norway. Countries like Sweden are known to have held back on so-called non-pharmaceutical interventions from the beginning.

It is not known that the piles of corpses would have piled up everywhere in these countries despite these liberal policies.

Underlying all of the policies ostensibly designed to contain the COVID-19 pandemic were, and are, the following five assumptions:

SARS CoV-2 was a completely new pathogen that had jumped from animals to humans, was completely unknown to the human organism, to which no one was immune, and therefore could spread exponentially.

This pathogen is so insidious that it can even be passed on by people who have no symptoms themselves.

Therefore, the only way out is to diagnose the COVID-19 disease (noticed or unnoticed) by means of a PCR test.

If the state does not intervene consistently, there is a risk of massive over-mortality and a dramatic overload of intensive care capacities.

He said that the incidence of infection could be monitored by expanding testing capacity. Accordingly, more than 1 million people are currently being tested for SARS CoV2 by PCR week after week.

To prove the assertion that all five basic assumptions of "pandemic containment" outlined above are demonstrably false, Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg, Wiesenweg 40, 24646 Warder, could act as an expert witness.

For if this proof is provided, then it is already definitively established that there was and is at no time any justification for the risks and damage associated with the completely new generation of gene-based "vaccines" (if only because of the - to date - lack of long-term studies).

To prove the assertion that

a)

gene-based coronavirus "vaccines" are in fact genetic interventions,

b)

That these coronavirus "vaccines" do not prevent infection or transmission, nor do they prevent severe courses,

c)

the damages caused by the coronavirus "vaccines" are, on the basis of the data available in the meantime, which can be taken from all sources accessible in the meantime and here in particular also from official statistics, taking into account the underreporting, so considerable that they are in no relation to their alleged advantages,

Prof. Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi could appear as an expert witness.

If necessary, I would be happy to provide his contact details.

In any case, the discerning senate should only hear such expert witnesses who have proven their independence and integrity by demonstrably contradicting false or at least not comprehensibly justified official narratives for years, even at the cost of personal disadvantages.

If in the meantime the recognizing senate asks itself what this whole unspeakable anti-corona policy and in particular also these genetic interventions actually serve, if they obviously cannot serve the protection against infection, then we will not be able to deepen this question adequately in these proceedings.

It should only be pointed out here that the human biosystem can now be "hacked", which brings us to the subject of "transhumanism" and the total digital monitoring of human beings.

The question is: Who actually wants this? And why?

Many people have already investigated this question. The answers that have emerged are highly alarming and emphatically prove that such fears are not merely derived from "science fiction" horror stories.

No one can want such a digital dystopia to become reality. Human life as we recognize it,

For an introduction to these issues, consider only the following sources:

<https://www.rubikon.news/artikel/die-hoherzuechter>

<https://www.rubikon.news/artikel/der-codierte-mensch>

<https://www.rubikon.news/artikel/der-codierte-mensch-2>

It leads too far to deepen these topics here. Clarification of these aspects is not the point of these proceedings. But they cannot remain completely unmentioned.

Schmitz
Rechtsanwalt