

Wilfried Schmitz

Rechtsanwalt

RA Wilfried Schmitz, Mitglied der RA-Kammer Köln

An das

Bundesverwaltungsgericht
Geschäftsstelle 1. Wehrdienstsenat

schmitz.de
04107 Leipzig

Zustellung über das beA

Büro in 52538 Selfkant:

De-Plevitz-Str. 2
Telefon: 02456-5085590
Telefax: 02456-5085591
Mobil: 01578-7035614
Mobile Festnetz-Nr.:
02456-9539054
Email:
info@rechtsanwalt-wilfried-

Homepage abrufbar unter:
Rechtsanwalt-Wilfried-Schmitz.de
beA:
Schmitz, Wilfried (52538 Selfkant)

Steuernummer: 210/5145/1944
USt.-IdNr.: DE268254583

<u>Bei Zahlungen bitte stets angeben:</u> Rechn.-Nr.:

<u>Bei Antworten bitte stets angeben:</u> Aktenzeichen: 37 + 58 / 2022
--

Selfkant, den 29.4.2022

Joined military appeal proceedings of Mr. ... at AZ. ... and of Mr. ... to AZ. ...

Dear Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen,

Yesterday's announcement by the rapporteur, Dr. Eppelt, has irritated the complainants and us, as their representatives in the proceedings, to such an extent that we felt obliged to take a closer look at Dr. Eppelt's curriculum vitae.

For if the rapporteur, Dr Eppelt, had informed us yesterday that we would in any case also be discussing the answers - which we hope will be given at this meeting at the latest - given by the representatives of the BVMg to the numerous factual questions we had asked and also other questions that have a direct thematic connection with the soldiers and these mRNA injections, then we would have been able to understand that immediately.

In particular, the data presented by Dr. Röhrig from the database of the US military on the increase in illnesses in connection with the start of coronavirus mRNA injections there should have such a strong thematic connection to the subject of these proceedings and such a relevance that we can and should discuss them on May 2, 2022.

In the case of documents relating to such factual matters, we could have immediately discerned the subject matter connection, the observance of which the recognizing Senate itself urged.

But if instead - and then at such short notice - we are confronted for the first time with a list of extensive bulletins of the RKI with decisions of the STIKO, all of which, moreover, are foreseeably not suitable to dispel our legal objections against the obligation to tolerate

coronavirus mRNA injections, then the complainants naturally ask themselves why we should be formally distracted from the factual and legal questions of interest here with such a mountain of paper.

We are very confident that we will be able to refute every central claim and recommendation of the RKI, PEI and STIKO in further main trial dates, or at least shake them so sustainably that the total failure of these bodies can no longer be denied. But this work does not have to be done already on 2.5.2022.

If the BVMg fails to provide all relevant answers, and so far it appears that it will, then the obligation of the soldiers to tolerate the conduct at issue here will have to be temporarily suspended for this reason alone.

We learned yesterday that Dr. Eppelt received her doctorate from her alma mater in Passau in 1999 on the topic of "Waiver of fundamental rights and human genetics: The waiver of fundamental rights, especially in the context of consent to the use of newer, human genetic forms of diagnosis and therapy".

In the view of the complainants, this title of the doctoral thesis alone is suitable to at least give rise to the suspicion of a possible bias on the part of Dr. Eppelt, since it suggests a very strong connection to the content of these military complaint proceedings.

For reasons of legal prudence, we feel compelled to examine which views and conclusions Dr. Eppelt held in her doctoral thesis and whether these could give rise to concerns of bias.

It is true that this doctoral thesis was written a few years ago. But we assume that even after decades, a doctoral edge will not easily distance itself from the views and conclusions it set down in its doctoral thesis.

Therefore, we would like to ask you to send us a PDF version of the copy of the aforementioned dissertation of the rapporteur Dr. Eppelt via the beA at short notice, if possible before 2.5.2022.

Only then can we conclusively assess whether this dissertation has any content which, in the view of the complainants, could give rise to concern about bias.

With kind regards

Schmitz
Lawyer