

Wilfried Schmitz

**Rechtsanwalt**

RA Wilfried Schmitz, Mitglied der RA-Kammer Köln

An das

Bundesverwaltungsgericht  
Geschäftsstelle 1. Wehrdienstsenat

schmitz.de

04107 Leipzig

**Zustellung über das beA**

**Büro in 52538 Selfkant:**

**De-Plevitz-Str. 2**

**Telefon: 02456-5085590**

**Telefax: 02456-5085591**

**Mobil: 01578-7035614**

**Mobile Festnetz-Nr.:**

**02456-9539054**

**Email:**

info@rechtsanwalt-wilfried-

**Homepage abrufbar unter:**

Rechtsanwalt-Wilfried-Schmitz.de

**beA:**

Schmitz, Wilfried (52538 Selfkant)

**Steuernummer: 210/5145/1944**

**USt.-IdNr.: DE268254583**

**Bei Zahlungen bitte stets angeben:**

**Rechn.-Nr.:**

**Bei Antworten bitte stets angeben:**

**Aktenzeichen: ... / 2022**

Selfkant, den 22.3.2022

**In the military appeal proceedings**

**of Mr. ...**

**AZ. ... and BVerwG ...**

my colleagues will make further submissions before April 1, in particular on a number of points relevant to the decision:

1.

With regard to the formal legality of the obligation to tolerate, we will explain, among other things

Why the BVMg's decision to include coronavirus vaccination in the basic vaccination scheme of the German Armed Forces is an administrative act in the form of a general decree, and why the BVMg considers it to be such,

on the basis of which discretionary errors this decision was already obviously unlawful on 24.11.2021 (and before), also with regard to the answer of the Federal Government in accordance with printed matter 20/460 of the German Bundestag, and the non-revocation of this administrative act - against the background of the meanwhile known data - is currently all the more obviously unlawful,

why, with regard to the further execution of this administrative act, the suspensive effect of the complainant's complaint must also be ordered for further reasons, or why the BVMg must be obliged to suspend the obligation to tolerate the COVID-19 vaccination until the decision in the main matter.

We do not need to go further into questions such as why the BVerwG can be directly appealed to here, if the answer already follows directly from the law (cf. Section 21 (1) WBO).

2.

We will also discuss other aspects of § 17 a SG. We will presuppose as already known the statement of "KRiStA - Netzwerk Kritische Richter und Staatsanwälte n.e.V." of March 17, 2022 on the public hearing of experts in Berlin on March 21, 2022 on the subject of "compulsory vaccination, which fully confirms our legal position on the incompatibility of a compulsory vaccination with the COVID-19 "vaccines" with the GG and binding international law.

Source:

<https://netzwerkkrista.de/2022/03/18/stellungnahme-von-krista-zur-oeffentlichen-anhoerung-im-gesundheitsausschuss-am-21-maerz-2022-ab-1000-uhr-zum-thema-impflicht/>

3.

Important drug law issues that stand in the way of estoppel will be further explored and linked to additional offers of proof.

4.

We will demand a number of disclosures from the BVMg (cf. Section 99 VwGO and Section 1 (1) IFG), in particular regarding the practice of their own data collection and evaluation.

We will also ask what conclusions and consequences the BVMg draws from the development of the U.S. military's medical database, according to which in 2021 - and thus shortly after the start of the administration of mRNA vaccines to military personnel as of Dec. 14, 2020 - there was a 1,000% annual increase in medical problems.

In any case, 80 "sudden and unexpected" deaths in just 18 months at Fort Bragg alone, only 3 of them in combat, should also have alarmed BVMg.

Source:

<https://tkp.at/2022/03/21/us-militaer-in-fort-bragg-80-todesfaelle-ploetzlich-und-unerwartet-3-im-kampfeinsatz/>

Wilfried Schmitz

**Rechtsanwalt**

Rechtsanwalt